



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF CHEMICAL SAFETY  
AND POLLUTION PREVENTION

08/13/2012

MEMORANDUM:

Subject: EPA Reg. No.: 62719-42/ Reldan F Insecticidal Chemical  
DP Barcode: 403821/403822/403823/403825/403826  
Case No.: N/A

From: Boris Yurchak, Chemist *BY* *MJP*  
Risk Management and Implementation Branch V (7508P)  
Pesticide Re-evaluation Division

To: Joel Wolf, CRM  
Risk Management and Implementation Branch III (7508P)  
Pesticide Re-evaluation Division

Applicant: Dow AgroSciences LLC,  
9330 Zionsville Road  
Indianapolis, IN 46268

FORMULATION FROM EPA Reg. No. 62719-42 LABEL:

Active Ingredient(s):	% by wt.
Chlorpyrifos-methyl [0,0-dimethyl 0-(3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridyl) phosphorothioate]:.....	97%
Other Ingredient(s):.....	3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**BACKGROUND:** In response to the registration review DCI for Chlorpyrifos-methyl [0,0-dimethyl 0-(3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridyl) phosphorothioate] (059102), the registrant is submitting five acute toxicity studies to support their product EPA Reg. No. 62719-42. The MRID's are as follows: 488681-01 (870.1100), 488681-02 (870.1200), 488681-03 (870.1300), 488681-04 (870.2400), 488681-05 (870.2500), 449069-01 & 449890-01 (870.2600). The test material used in each of the studies is the subject product.

**RECOMMENDATIONS:**

- The acute toxicity studies (81-1, 81-2, 81-3, 81-4, 81-5) submitted are acceptable to support the reregistration of EPA Reg. No. **62719-42**.
- The Skin Sensitization Study (81-6) is unacceptable due to the lack of a positive control study. A new study should be cited or submitted.

The acute toxicity profile for EPA Reg. No. **62719-42** is currently:

Acute Oral	III	Acceptable (LD <sub>50</sub> >2,000 mg/kg)
Acute Dermal	IV	Acceptable (LD <sub>50</sub> >5,000 mg/kg)
Acute Inhalation	IV	Acceptable (LC <sub>50</sub> >2.48 mg/L)
Primary Eye	IV	Acceptable
Primary Skin	IV	Acceptable
Skin Sensitization	-----	Unacceptable





**DATA REVIEW FOR ACUTE INHALATION TOXICITY TESTING (§81-3, 870.1300)**

**Product Manager:** Venus Eagle, 1  
**MRID No.:** 488681-03

**Reviewer:** Boris Yurchak  
**Study Completion Date:** 05/11/2012  
**Report No.:** 121027

**Testing Facility:** Toxicology & Environmental Research and Consulting, the Dow Chemical Company,  
 Midland, Michigan 48674

**Authors:** S.M. Krieger, M.S. and C.R. Garlinghouse, B.S.

**Quality Assurance (40 CFR §160.12):** Included

**Test Material:** Chlorpyrifos Methyl, aerosol  
**Species:** F344/DuCrI 5 males and 5 female rats  
**Age:** 7 – 8 weeks  
**Weight, g:** 167-184 (m), 127-134 (f)  
**Source:** Charles River (Kingston, New York)

**Summary:**

- 1. **LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/L):** > 2.48 mg/L
- 2. **MMAD (µm):** 2.32                      **GSD (µm):** 2.24
- 3. **Tox. Category:** IV                      **Classification:** Acceptable

**Procedure (Deviation From §81-3):** none

**Results:**

**Reported Mortality**

Exposure Concentration (mg/L)	(number deaths/number tested)		
	Males	Females	combined
2.48	0/5	0/5	0/10

**Chamber Atmosphere**

Dose Level, mg/L	MMAD, µm	GSD, µm
2.48	2.32	2.24
2.48	2.79	2.44
2.48	1.98	1.86

Chamber Environment	Dose Level, mg/L
Chamber volume, cm <sup>3</sup>	42400
Airflow, Lpm	26
Nominal concentration, mg/L	29.2
Temperature (°C)	21.7±0.5
Relative Humidity %	9.9±6.4

**DATA REVIEW FOR PRIMARY EYE IRRITATION TESTING (§81-4, 870.2400)**

**Product Manager:** Venus Eagle, 1  
**MRID No.:** 488681-04

**Reviewer:** Boris Yurchak  
**Study Completion Date:** 05/16/2012  
**Report No.:** 120087

**Testing Facility:** JAI Research Foundation, Valvada- 396 108, Gujarat, India  
**Author:** R. Verma

**Quality Assurance (40 CFR §160.12):** Included

**Test Material:** Chlorpyrifos Methyl TGAI, White Crystalline Solid  
**Species:** three New Zealand White female rabbits (*oryctolagus cuniculus*)  
**Age:** 16-17 weeks  
**Weight:** 2.340 – 2.618 kg  
**Source:** Animal Breeding facility, JAI Research Foundation  
**Dosage:** One-tenth of milliliter (0.1 mL) of the test substance

**Summary:**

**Toxicity Category:** IV

**Classification:** Acceptable

**Procedure (Deviations From §81-4):** none

**Results:**

Observations	(number "positive"/number tested)			
	Hours			
	1	24	48	72
Corneal Opacity	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3
Iris	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3
Conjunctivae				
Redness	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3
Chemosis	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3

There was no corneal opacity or iritis observed in any treated eye during the study.

## DATA REVIEW FOR DERMAL IRRITATION TESTING (§81-5, 870.2500)

**Product Manager:** Venus Eagle, 1  
**MRID No.:** 488681-05

**Reviewer:** Boris Yurchak  
**Study Completion Date:** 05/16/2012  
**Report No.:** 120086

**Testing Facility:** JAI Research Foundation, Valvada- 396 108, Gujarat, India  
**Author:** R. Verma

**Quality Assurance (40 CFR §160.12):** Included

**Test Material:** Chlorpyrifos Methyl TGAI, White Crystalline Solid  
**Species:** three New Zealand White male rabbits (*oryctolagus cuniculus*)  
**Age:** 16-17 weeks  
**Weight:** 2.562 – 2.609 kg  
**Source:** Animal Breeding facility, JAI Research Foundation  
**Dosage:** 500 mg of the test substance for 4 hours following application to 10% of body surface area

### Summary:

- 1. Toxicity Category:** IV
- 2. Classification:** Acceptable PDII  $\approx$  0.7

**Procedure (Deviations From §81-5):** none

**Application:** A quantity of 500 mg chlorpyrifos methyl TGAI moistened with 0.5 mL distilled water was applied evenly to one of the clipped sites of each rabbit and on the clipped site, 0.5 mL distilled water was applied. The latter served as the control site. The treated and the control sites were covered with gauze patches of approximately 6 cm<sup>2</sup> (gauze rolled) which was not more than 8-ply and was secured at the margins by non-irritating tape (Medi tape 330 hypo-allergic surgical tape) to prevent evaporation of the test item and to ensure that the rabbits did not ingest it. At the end of the 4 h exposure period 9day 00, the residual test item was removed with cotton soaked in distilled water.

### Results:

Observations after patch Removal	(number "positive"/number tested)			
	Hours			
	1	24	48	72
Erythema	3/3	3/3	2/3	0/3
Oedema	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3

Very slight Erythema was evident at 1 and 24 h in all the three rabbits and resolved by 48 h in one rabbit while in case of 2 rabbits it resolved by 72 h.

## DATA REVIEW FOR DERMAL SENSITIZATION TESTING (§81-6, 870.2600)

**Product Manager:** Venus Eagle, I  
**MRID Nos.:** 449069-01, 449890-01

**Reviewer:** Boris Yurchak  
**Study Completion Date:** 05/20/1985; 12/01/1999  
**Report No.:** DET 474; RFB 120199

**Testing Facility:** Hazleton Laboratories Europe (Covance Laboratories) Ltd, UK  
**Author:** J.R. Jones, R.F. Bischoff

**Quality Assurance (40 CFR §160.12):** Not Included

**Test Material:** Chlorpyrifos Methyl TGAI, White Crystalline Solid  
**Species:** 28 Hartley albino guinea pig (14 male, 14 female)  
**Age:** young adult  
**Weight:** 275-712 g  
**Source:** Hacking and Churchill, Huntingdon

**Positive Control Material:** not given/not applied

**Method:** Buehler

### Summary:

1. Skin Sensitization of the subject product has not been determined properly
2. **Classification:** Not Acceptable

**Procedure (Deviation From §81-6):** Information on the positive control study, including the positive control substance used, the method used, and the time conducted is not presented in the MRIDs provided and, therefore, does not meet requirements of the OPPTS 870.2600.

### Procedure:

A lint pad (2.5 cm x 2.5 cm) wetted with test article (0.3 mL), at a concentration of 100% w/v, was applied to the left flank of each guinea pig for a contact period of 6 h. The lint pads were held in place with an overlapping impermeable occlusive tape and secured by elastic adhesive bandage wound round the torso of the animal. The treatment was repeated 7 and 14 days after the initial exposure on the same site, which was shaved the day before each application.

Two weeks after the induction phase (day 29), the test animals of group I were challenged by application of test article at a concentration of 100% w/v in polyethylene glycol on a closed patch on the right flank of each animal. An identical patch was placed on the opposite flank treated with vehicle alone. After 6 hours the patches were removed. The following day all animals were treated with depilatory cream on the challenge sites, rinsed thoroughly and dried with a disposable towel.

Untreated control animals of group II each received an identical application of the test solution or vehicle on day 29 using the same procedure as for the treatment group I.

Three hours after depilation the challenge sites from both groups were evaluated (24 hour reading). The evaluation was repeated 24 hours later (48 hour reading).

**Results:**

Slight patchy redness was noted in all test sites exposed to 100% w/v Reldan F and in 2 test sites exposed to a concentration of 50% w/v. A concentration of 100% w/v was used for the main study. No adverse skin reactions were noted on exposure to test article or vehicle alone on test and control animals. These results indicated that Reldan F does not cause delayed contact hypersensitivity in the guinea pig using the Buehler test.

Nevertheless, the results cannot be accepted since the positive control test was not performed (see MRID No. 449890-01).